

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/700,094	CHEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ankur Gogia	2187	

All Participants:

(1) Ankur Gogia.

(2) Kirk Cesari.

Status of Application: Non-Final

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 January 2006

Time: 1:40 p.m.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: N/A.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

no rejections were discussed

Claims discussed:

2, 11-23

Prior art documents discussed:

no prior art documents were discussed

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



**CHRISTIAN CHACE
PRIMARY EXAMINER**



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested to applicant's representative an examiner's amendment cancelling claims 2 and 15-23 and moving claim 11 into claim 1. At this point the applicant's representative requested a couple of days to review the suggestions and would respond to examiner on Tuesday, January 17, 2006.

Further attempts for communication were unsuccessful. Agreement was not reached.